SUBJECT INDEX

a

Accountability, criteria for; 2: 40.
Air pollution control officers, training of; 4: 111.
Akron University; 1: 17.
Algebraic formulae; 3: 67.
Algebraic formulae equivalence; 3: 67.
APEX; 4: 111.
APL (A Programming Language); 4: 95.
Artificial intelligence; 1: 18.
ASIF (A Small Integrated Processor); 4: 103.
Attitudes of medical school administrators; 4: 83.
Attitudinal data; 4: 108.
Auditory discrimination; 4: 113.
Author training; 2: 48; 4: 112.
Automated reading laboratory; 3: 55.
Automatic Test Systems; 4: 104.

b

BASIC; 1: 22.
  programs; 3: 63.
Biostatistics; 4: 108.

c

CAI; 2: 30, 48.
  authoring style; 1: 19.
  courseware development; 1: 24, 25.
  deaf education; 1: 20.
  development; 1: 24, 26.
  fields
    biology; 1: 24.
    health science education; 4: 83.
    mathematics; 1: 15, 23.
    medicine; 1: 23.
    patient simulation; 1: 23.
  grade levels
    all levels; 1: 20.
    college; 1: 15.
    2-12; 1: 20.
  interinstitutional; 1: 17.
  lessons; 3: 63.
  models of instruction; 1: 18.
  program development activities; 2: 45.
  program language; 1: 16.
  Scotland; 1: 22.
CAI/CMI; 2: 30.
California School for the Deaf; 1: 20.
CBE
  ear-training; 1: 21.
  health science; 1: 20.
Chemical formulae; 3: 67.
Choice shift; 1: 2.
Classroom interaction; 3: 63.
Classroom Teachers Support System (CTSS); 4: 105.
CMI; 1: 11, 2: 30.
development activity; 1: 11.
Compilers; 3: 67.
Computer; 2: 36.
Computer-assisted instruction; 2: 30, 48.
Computer-assisted teaching examinations; 3: 58.
Computer-assisted test construction; 4: 106.
Computer-assisted testing system (medicine); 3: 57.
Computer-based model; 1: 1.
Computer managed instruction; 1: 11; 2: 30.
Computer programs, prepackaged; 3: 73.
Computer-simulated workshop; 3: 52.
Cost factors relating to CAI; 4: 83.
Courseware conversion; 1: 20, 27.
Courseware development; 1: 26.
Coursewriter III; 1: 21; 2: 30; 3: 58; 4: 107, 110.
Criteria for accountability; 2: 40.
Curriculum in training instructional programmers; 2: 44.
CWF (HP); 1: 22.
Cyber-70; 1: 26.

d

Deaf education; 1: 20, 28.
Deaf students; 4: 113.
Development of CAI authors; 2: 48; 4: 112.
Dialogs; 2: 36.
Diacritical markings; 4: 113.
Dietetics; 4: 109, 110.
Digital Equipment Corporation; 4: 112.
Discovery learning; 4: 95.

e

Educational simulations; 3: 52.
Effectiveness of CAI; 3: 65.
Environmental protection; 4: 111.
Equating learning outcomes to operational objectives; 2: 46.
Evaluation of a computer-assisted statistics course; 3: 79.

f

Faculty; 2: 48.
  support; 4: 109.
  workshops; 4: 108.
Flanders Interaction Analysis; 3: 63.
Flowcharts for computer-assisted statistics course; 3: 76, 78.
FOCAL; 4: 112.

FOCLEARN; 4: 112.
FORTRAN IV; 1: 23; 4: 112.
Free language
  dialogue simulation; 4: 110.
  input; 4: 110.
Functions of instructional programmers; 2: 40.

g
Gaullaudet College, Model Secondary School for the Deaf; 1: 28.
Graduate courses in CAI/CMI; 2: 30.
Group-induced shift; 1: 2.
GUIDO; 1: 21.

h
Handicapped students; 4: 110.
Health Education Network; 4: 104.
Hearing impaired students; 4: 110.
HENUG; 4: 104.
Hewlett-Packard 2000F; 1: 22; 4: 108.
HYPERTEXT; 1: 26.
HYPERTUTOR; 1: 26.

i
IBM; 4: 105.
Implications for CAI development and planning; 4: 89.
Informal Reading Inventory (IRI); 3: 52.
Information system; 2: 45.
Insight learning; 4: 95.
Instructional Dialogue Facility (IDF); 3: 63.
Instructional use of computers; 4: 95.
Instructor-controlled interaction; 4: 109.
Intel 8008; 4: 103.
Inter-active programming; 1: 19.
Interdisciplinary approach; 1: 15.
Introductory logic course; 4: 106.

j
Judging algebraic equations; 3: 70.

k
Kent State University; 1: 17.

l
Laboratory courses; 1: 1.
Language, programming; 1: 16.
Lawrence Hall of Science; 1: 20.
Learner-controlled interaction; 4: 109.
Learning; 2: 36.
Learning outcomes; 2: 43, 44.
Legal education; 1: 18.
Linear vs. branched CAI programs; 4: 108.
Lister Hill Network; 4: 104.
Los Angeles City Unified School District; 4: 105.

m
Manpower allocation; 1: 26.
Mathematics; 1: 15.
Medical education; 4: 104.
Mentally retarded students; 4: 110.
METRO; 4: 111.
Microprocessor; 4: 103.
Mini-computers; 4: 108, 112.
Molecular weight; 3: 67.
Multi-sensory approach; 4: 113.
Multi-TUTOR; 1: 26.
Music; 1: 21.

n
NATAL-74; 1: 16.
National Technical Institute for the Deaf (NTID); 2: 48; 4: 113.
NATLAN; 4: 110.
Northwestern University; 1: 26.

o
Objectives; 2: 42.
Open use of APL; 4: 95.
Orthopedically impaired students; 4: 110.

p
Personnel; 2: 48.
Physics; 2: 36.
Pitfalls in CMI development; 1: 11.
PLAN; 4: 109.
PLATO IV; 1: 21, 26; 4: 108.
Polish notation; 3: 67.
Prepackaged computer programs for computer-assisted statistics course; 3: 75.

r
Research method courses; 1: 1.
Risky shift; 1: 2.

s
SAL (Simple Author Language); 4: 105.
Scotland; 1: 22.
Simple Author Language (SAL); 4: 105.
Simulates experimental outcome data; 1: 1.
Simulation
  civil procedures; 1: 18.

clinical encounters; 1: 21, 23.
medical patients; 1: 21, 23.
tool; 3: 52.
Social studies education; 3: 63.
Soloworks laboratory; 1: 15.
South Metropolitan Association; 4: 110.
Specialized notations; 3: 67.
Student developed modules; 2: 30.
System design; 1: 11.
model; 1: 18.
System reliability; 3: 55.

t

TACL; 2: 48.
Task detailing; 2: 42.
Teacher-education program; 3: 63.
Teaching; 2: 36.
Teaching research methods; 1: 1.
Tenure; 4: 109.
TERM-LEARN; 4: 112.
Test construction; 4: 106.
Testing, IBM, Coursewriter III; 3: 58.
Test question banks; 4: 106.
The Ohio State University; 4: 106, 110.
TICCIT; 1: 28.
Training CAI authors; 2: 48; 4: 112.
Training instructional programmers; 2: 40.
TUTOR; 1: 21, 26; 4: 108.

u

Undergraduate computer-assisted statistics course; 3: 73.
U.S. Navy; 4: 104.
University of
California, Santa Barbara; 4: 105.
Delaware; 1: 21.
Illinois; 1: 21; 4: 108.
Iowa; 1: 22; 4: 108.
Kansas; 1: 21.
Urban planning; 4: 111.
Utilization of CAI; 2: 49.

v

Venn diagrams; 4: 107.
Visually impaired students; 4: 110.

w

Webster's diacritical markings; 4: 113.

AUTHOR INDEX

Aiken, R.M. A CAI Simulation Program For Teaching IRI Techniques, 3: 52.
Observation On Teaching A Graduate Course in Computer Assisted Instruction/Computer Managed Instruction, 2: 30.

Bork, A. Learning To Teach Via Teaching the Computer To Teach, 2: 36.

Braun, P. Teaching with A Programming Language: An Example in Statistics, 4: 95.

Burson, J.L. Learning Outcomes: Criteria for Accountability in the Training and Functions of Instructional Programmers, 2: 40.

Gerhold, G.A. Two Specialized Notation Compilers for CAI on Minicomputers, 3: 67.

Hughes, R. Integration of Prepackaged Computer Programs into an Undergraduate Introductory Statistics Course, 3: 73.

Jones, L.A. Increasing Medical Student Performance with an Interactive, Computer-Assisted Appraisal System, 3: 57.

Johnston, S.A. Teaching Research Methods with a Computer-Based Model of Group-Induced Shifts, 1: 1.

Lippey, G. Ten More Pitfalls in CMI Development, 1: 11.

Malamuth, N.M. Teaching Research Methods with a Computer-Based Model of Group-Induced Shifts, 1: 1.

Marks, S. Learning Alternatives in U.S. Education: Where Student and Computer Meet, 3: 80.

Milner, S.D. The Attitudes of Medical School Administrators Toward Cost Factors Relating to Computer-Assisted Instruction, 4: 83.

Roe, M.H. A CAI Simulation Program for Teaching IRI Techniques, 3: 52.

Scalzo, F. Integration of Prepackaged Computer Programs into an Undergraduate Introductory Statistics Course, 3: 73.

Shure, G.H. Teaching Research Methods with a Computer-Based Model of Group-Induced Shifts, 1: 1.

Sorlie, W.E. Increasing Medical Student Performance with an Interactive, Computer-Assisted Appraisal System, 3: 57.

Swigger, K.M. Automated Flanders Interaction Analysis, 3: 63.

Williams, M.C. The Attitudes of Medical School Administrators Toward Cost Factors Relating to Computer-Assisted Instruction, 4: 83.

Young, M. Project Skill: Training in CAI Unit Development, 2: 48.

TITLE INDEX

Abstracts of the Summer 1975 ADCIS Meeting in Portland, Maine. 1: 15.

Abstracts of the Winter 1976 ADCIS Conference in Santa Barbara, California. 4: 103.

The Attitudes of Medical School Administrators Toward Cost Factors Relating to Computer-Assisted Instruction. Williams, M.C., Milner, S.D., 4: 83.

Automated Flanders Interaction Analysis. Swigger, K.M., 3: 63.

A CAI Simulation Program for Teaching IRI Techniques. Roe, M.H., Aiken, R.M., 3: 52.

Increasing Medical Student Performance with an Interactive, Computer-Assisted Appraisal System. Jones, L.A., Sorlie, W.E., 3: 57.

Integration of Prepackaged Computer Programs into an Undergraduate Introductory Statistics Course. Scalzo, F., Hughes, R., 3: 73.

Learning Alternatives in U.S. Education: Where Student and Computer Meet. Marks, S., 3: 80.

Learning Outcomes: Criteria for Accountability in the Training and Functions of Instructional Programmers. Burson, J.L., 2: 40.

Learning To Teach Via Teaching the Computer To Teach. Bork, A., 2: 36.

Observations On Teaching A Graduate Course in Computer Assisted Instruction/Computer Managed Instruction. Aiken, R.M., 2: 30.

Project Skill: Training in CAI Unit Development. Young, M., 2: 48.

Teaching Research Methods with a Computer-Based Model of Group-Induced Shifts. Malamuth, N.M., Shure, G.H., and Johnston, S.A., 1: 1.

Teaching with A Programming Language: An Example in Statistics. Braun, P., 4: 95.

Ten More Pitfalls in CMI Development. Lippey, G., 1: 11.

Two Specialized Notation Compilers for CAI on Minicomputers. Gerhold, G.A., 3: 67.